

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/768,124	01/23/2001	Jeffrey Zarnowski	201951/141	3336
. 75	90 07/05/2002			
Gunnar G. Leinberg, Esq.			EXAMINER	
NIXON, PEABODY LLP Clinton Square P.O. Box 31051 Rochester, NY 14603			LE, QUE TAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2878	6
		DATE MAILED: 07/05/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

F	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-/
•	09/768,124	ZARNOWSKI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
,	Que T. Le	2878	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			
Period for Reply		•	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	— · is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, pi		
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application	L		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	- , ,,	oved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•		
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document			
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)	
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 	• •		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 09/768,124

Art Unit: 2878

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-6, 10-15, 19-26 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Saunders 5,635,705.

Saunders discloses a signal processing system comprising a bus system for transferring information data (video) signals from a plurality of signal streams to an output including a plurality of signal buses (20, 28, 32) in parallel; and a control device (38, 34, 40, 48) for multiplexing the signals from two or more signal streams onto two or more signal buses and allowing the signals to substantially charge each of the signal buses before demultiplexing the signals to the output.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/768,124

Art Unit: 2878

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-9, 16-18, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saunders 5,635,705.

With respect to claims 7, 8, 16, 17, 27 and 28, although Saunders fails to specify the type of encoder being used, selecting a particular decoder for decoding signal in a signal processing system would have been a mere of routine skill in the art. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Saunders accordingly in order to provide a desired control performance for the system. The selection of further components for the control device recited in claims 9, 18 would have been obvious for similar reasons set forth above.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

I) Alexander et al 5,712,932 disclose an optical communication system including a plurality of switching networks.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Que T. Le whose telephone number is (703) 308-4830.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Que T.Le Primary Examiner